

Evaluation Rubric for Final Paper

Criteria

Level of Performance/Assessment

	Exemplary	Acceptable	Needs Improvement	Your points
Argument (20 points)	<u>18-20</u> Paper makes a clear argument that is rooted in the literature and class material, as well as the data collected	<u>13-17 points</u> Paper makes a weak and/or unclear argument that is difficult to follow, and has little connection to the literature, class material and data collected	<u>0-12 points</u> Paper has little to no argument and/or argument does not clearly link to class materials, data collected, and literature	
Data and Methods (20 points)	<u>18-20</u> Clear explanation of data collected and their methods (how author analyzed the data) Meet and/or goes above and beyond course requirements for data collection	<u>13-17 points</u> Meets course requirements for data collection Parts of explanation of data collected and methods (how author analyzed data) are clear, other parts are unclear	<u>0-12 points</u> Does not meet course requirements for data collection Unclear explanation of data collected and their methods (how author analyzed data)	
Concepts (20 points)	<u>18-20</u> Clear understanding, application, and integration of concepts covered in course, into the paper	<u>13-17 points</u> Some understanding, application, and integration of concepts covered in course, into the paper	<u>0-12 points</u> Little to no understanding, application, and integration of concepts covered in course, into the paper	
Analysis (20 points)	<u>18-20</u> Provides a clear understanding of how their data relates to concepts in class	<u>13-17 points</u> Provides an unclear (or partial) understanding of how their data relates to concepts in class	<u>0-12 points</u> Provides little to no understanding of how their data relates to concepts in class	

	Findings are organized thematically Little to no assumptions not supported by references or data	Findings are organized thematically Makes some assumptions not supported by references or data	Findings are not organized thematically Makes many assumptions not supported by references or data	
Organization (10 points)	<u>8-10 points</u> Paper follows organizational and format guidelines	<u>5-7 points</u> Paper follows some, but not all, of the organizational and format guidelines	<u>0-4 points</u> Paper does not follow organizational and format guidelines	
References (10 points)	<u>8-10 points</u> Ideas from readings and class are appropriately cited within text Reference list at the end adheres to ASA style guidelines (see https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/583/03/)	<u>5-7 points</u> Ideas from readings and class are not fully appropriately cited within text Reference list at the end partially adheres to ASA style guidelines (see https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/583/03/)	<u>0-4 points</u> Ideas from readings and class are not appropriately cited within text Reference list at the end does not adhere to ASA style guidelines (see https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/583/03/)	